

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-31384 of 2016(O&M)**

**Date of Decision:15.09.2016**

**SARBJIT SINGH @ SABBA**

...Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present:- Mr.Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.45, dated 05.04.2016 for offence under Section 379-B of IPC, registered at Police Station, Rahon, District-SBS Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 15.05.2016 and the allegation against him is that he along with two other persons, namely, Kulvir Singh and Jasbir Singh have snatched Rs.1500/- and one mobile phone make LAVA from the complainant-Sanoj Paswan. He further submits that challan has been presented in the case and even prosecution evidence has started.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI-Manohar Lal, does not dispute the custody of the petitioner. However, he submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, therefore, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 16.05.2016 and trial in the case will take sufficient long time to conclude, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition for grant of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that in future, in case the petitioner is found involved in any other case, the prosecution will be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

It is also made clear that expression made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall be at liberty to decide the case on the basis of available evidence.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

15.09.2016  
Anjal

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |