

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB A HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-31325-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: September 14, 2016.

VIVEK NANDA @ VIVEK KUMAR

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mrs.Satwant Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG., Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Since 6 out of 8 witnesses cited by the prosecution have been examined and the next date of hearing before the trial Court is stated to be 28.9.2016, I do not find it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner as it would involve appreciation of evidence which might prejudice the right of the prosecution agency or the petitioner.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that the trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial within a period of one month after the next date of hearing giving fair opportunity to the petitioner to produce defence evidence.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 14, 2016.

rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No