

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31268-2016

Date of decision: October 04, 2016.

Ashish @ Bholu

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Vivek Suri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Karan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No. 243 dated 16.7.2016, registered under Sections 365, 379-B, 34 IPC, Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, the petitioner was arrested on 16.7.2016. Admittedly, challan has been filed in the court.

I have perused the FIR. Counsel for the State submits that the car was recovered from the possession of the petitioner. He also stated that there is no other case of similar nature against the petitioner. Since the challan has been filed, no purpose would be served in detaining the petitioner in custody and that the trial will take its own time, this petition is allowed. Bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the trial court/CJM concerned.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

October 04, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No