

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 31265 of 2016

Date of decision : September 14, 2016

Kartar Singh and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. KS Pannu, DAG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.58, dated 17.4.2016, registered under Section 67 of the IT Act, and Sections 120-B, 292-A (added later-on) of the IPC, at Police Station Cantonment, Amritsar.

As per allegations, one Harbans Singh had uploaded obscene video on social media group. Counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have no role to play and the main accused Harbans Singh

was granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

Learned DAG Punjab has argued that when Harbans Singh had joined the investigation, he had named the petitioners as being the person who had made the video.

Be that as it may, once the main accused Harbans Singh has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, I see no reason to take different view qua the present petitioners. Consequently, the petitioner are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.09.2016 or on any other day on which the Investigating Officer requires their presence, and in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 14, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No