

CRM-M-3125-2016

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(202)

CRM-M-3125-2016

Date of Decision:-29.08.2016

Harjinder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shailesh Gupta, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):-

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in a private complaint No.4 dated 19.01.2011, registered under Sections 302, 34 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, that was filed by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the police did not take action at the appropriate time and on the contrary, have filed a cancellation report before the Magistrate. The cancellation report has been sent to the Sessions Court by the Magistrate. In complaint case, the private complaint case filed by respondent No.2 is pending for trial. The offence has taken place six years back. Taking into consideration, the overall view of the matter and looking to the further fact that the police has filed a cancellation report after making investigation, though the allegations of respondent No.2 are that, the petitioners were not arrested being influential persons. I think, relief of grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail granted to the

Pankaj Kakkar
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

petitioners should be made absolute.

In that view of the matter, this petition is disposed of .

Interim order is made absolute.

August 29, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No