

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31227-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.09.2016

Vikram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Mahender Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') in FIR No.519 dated 13.10.2014, registered under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Ratia.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor in the disclosure statements of any of the accused. He has been implicated on the basis of supplementary disclosure statement suffered by co-accused Pradeep, which was recorded on 15.12.2014. The complainant has been examined and he has not supported the case of the prosecution.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender and as many as eight similar FIRs are


I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

pending against him. An amount of Rupees five thousand out of the total looted amount Rupees thirty five thousand, was recovered from him. Apart from the cash amount, a mobile phone was also looted.

Heard.

Considering the bad antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief sought in the present petition.

Dismissed.

However, nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion of the Court on the merits of the case.

09.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No