

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-31217 of 2016
Date of decision : 05.09.2016**

Rajwinder Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.2 is a divorcee and a decree of divorce has been passed by the District Judge, Jalandhar vide judgment dated 17.08.2016, which has been shown today.

Rajwinder Kuar and Gurnam Singh, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. They have placed on record marriage certificate and photographs, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 01.09.2016, Annexure P-5 to respondents No.2 and 3.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondents No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 within a period of one month and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. Its is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 05, 2016
M.Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No