

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-31209 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.10.2016

Prithvi Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Bedi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.P. Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 30 dated 19.01.2015, registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B and 201 of IPC, at Police Station Central, Faridabad.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. The original agreement to sell dated 16.08.2012 executed by one Sanjay Singh Sandhu in favour of the petitioner and the complainant, has not been handed over to the investigation agency by the complainant. It is a magisterial trial and the dispute is of civil nature. The petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2016. The property in dispute is still held by Sanjay Singh Sandhu.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that it is a magisterial trial; the petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2016; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the CJM/Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No