

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-3119 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.04.2016

Veerta Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. B.S. Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, AAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.38 dated 09.06.2015, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station City 1, Mansa, District Mansa.

On 01.02.2016, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Counsel would inter alia contend that the allegations of misappropriation of money on the pretext of sending relatives of the complainant abroad are targeted primarily against Bhupinder Pal Sharma and Harinder Pal Sharma. It is further submitted that part of the money as per complainant's version was deposited in the account of co-accused Harinder Pal Sharma. Even as per F.I.R there is no specific entrustment of any amount in favour of the present petitioner. Also contended that the petitioner has been roped in only on account of the relationship i.e. she being the mother-in-law of co-accused Harinder Pal Sharma. Co-accused Bhupinder Pal Sharma and Sat Pal Sharma are stated to have been arrested and have been granted the benefit of regular bail.

Notice of motion, returnable for 25.4.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Amrik Singh has apprised the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation and is now not required for any custodial interrogation.

That apart, the observations contained in the notice of motion order have gone un rebutted.

Petition is allowed. Order dated 01.02.2016 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

25.04.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**