

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M-31130 of 2016

Date of Decision:05.09.2016

Harwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: Mr.Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The main grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that despite making a complaint against respondent No.7, proper investigation has not been carried out by ASI Dharminder Singh, respondent No.4.

Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner was got married with respondent No.7 on 07.02.2013, according to Sikh rites and ceremonies. Her parents had given sufficient dowry articles to the accused at the time of marriage. However, he has started harassing her for bringing less dowry articles. The petitioner along with her relatives filed a complaint against respondent No.7 and his family members, but respondent No.4 has not taken any action against them. Thereafter, the petitioner has moved another complaint against respondent No.7 and his parents. Respondent No.6 assured her that they will take action but till date no action was taken. Then the petitioner approached respondent No.5 for taking action against respondent No.7 but to no avail. On 16.08.2016, she moved representations (Annexure P-1 & P-2), respectively, to respondent No.2 and respondent No.5 for taking action against respondent No.4 for fair investigation and to provide protection from respondent No.7 and his relatives, but no fruitful result has come so far. Still, respondent Nos.4 and 7 are threatening and pressurizing the petitioner for taking divorce.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for

the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5-Superintendent of Police, Moga, to consider the representation (Annexure P-2) annexed with the petition and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law.

At the same time, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the complaint (Annexure P-1) and conduct departmental inquiry against respondent No.4, who is not taking action against respondent No.7.

September 05, 2016
seema

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No