

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-31129 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2016**

Gautam Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. J.P. Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate,
for U.T., Chandigarh-respondent No.1.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 285 dated 14.07.2015, under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 39, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Monika-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Gautam Sharma-petitioner No.1. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws (petitioners) started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of some petty matters. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of

respectable persons, the matter has been compromised between the parties vide compromise deed dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, it has been decided that complainant-respondent No.2 would reside with her husband-petitioner No.1 and children at House No.54, Lane No.1, Shanti Nagar, Ist Floor, Panipat w.e.f. 05.04.2016.

In compliance with the order dated 05.09.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Chandigarh, has been received in this regard. As per report, Monika-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 29.09.2016 to the effect that with the intervention of respectable persons, she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. Now she is residing happily with her husband-Gautam Sharma at the aforesaid address. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Joint statement of Gautam Sharma, Ramesh Lal and Asha-petitioners was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 285 dated 14.07.2015, under Section

with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No