

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31095-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: October 26, 2016.

Jaswant Singh @ Babbu and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Hardip Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimpaljeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Joginder Pal Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2 & 3.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Reply filed by the State in Court today is taken on record.

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioners are being prosecuted under Sections 324, 323, 506, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) in FIR No.214 dated 15.12.2014, registered at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala. During the pendency of the proceedings, the petitioners and the respondents-complainants have arrived at a compromise. In view of the compromise, this Court had ordered recording of statements by order dated 5.9.2016. Pursuant to the said order, statements have been recorded and now there is a report from JMIC, Rajpura, through District Judge, Patiala, enclosing all the documents, including the statements. Perusal of the report and the documents shows that the compromise has been arrived at between the

parties without any pressure and influence and the same is therefore genuine.

Looking to the nature of the offences, in my opinion, it would be appropriate to allow compounding of offence in exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

In that view of the matter, FIR in question, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed on the basis of compounding/compromise.

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

October 26, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No