

CRM No. M-30162 of 2015
DECIDED ON: JULY 11, 2016

.....PETITIONERS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Jaiya, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondents No.4 and 5.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to official respondents to take appropriate action to conclude the investigation within a reasonable period and to take an action against private respondents pertaining to FIR No. 182 dated April 02, 2015 (Annexure P-1) or in the alternative to transfer the investigation of this case to CBI, Chandigarh.

2. In response to issuance of notice of motion, official respondents have furnished a reply by way of an affidavit of Mukesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy

Superintendent of Police, Sonapat.

3. A glance at the reply-cum-affidavit transpires that investigation in this case is complete and cancellation report has already been prepared by Inspector Parveen Kumar, SHO, Police Station City, Sonipat.

4. In view of preparation of cancellation report, instant petition has rendered infructuous and as such the same is disposed of.

5. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law.

JULY 11, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE