

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -31036 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 27.10.2016

Baljit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Loveneet Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab  
assisted by ASI Sarabjit Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.0061 dated 10.08.2016, registered under Sections 323, 452 and 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Noormehal, District Jalandhar.

On 05.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel contends that the complainant had given serious injuries to relative of the petitioners, namely, Kamaljit Kaur. The petitioner moved injured Kamaljit Kaur to hospital. He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Present FIR has been created only to get the matter compromised.*

*Learned counsel also refers to the MLR dated 09.08.2016*

*to contend that only bruise on non-vital part i.e. knee joint had been found on injured Kamaljit Kaur.*

*Notice of motion for 27.10.2016.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:*

- 1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. ”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 05.09.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 05.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

27.10.2016  
*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable:         | Yes | No |