

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M No.30952 of 2016
Date of Decision: 29.11.2016**

Puneet Mahajan & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. G.P.S.Pathania, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Riar, AAG, Punjab .

Mr. Amit Babbar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 66 dated 13.07.2015 under Sections 406/498-A/102-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspur, is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 05.10.2015 and 14.07.2016 (P/2 and P/3).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 04.11.2009. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioner.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 05.10.2015 and 14.07.2016 (P/2 and P/3). In compliance of order dated 02.09.2016, report dated 18.11.2016 of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Gurdaspur, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 18.11.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 66 dated 13.07.2015 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2016
tripti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE