

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-30100 of 2014
Date of decision: April 04, 2016.

Surinder Singh Saini and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuing directions to the official respondents No.1 to 5, i.e., State of Punjab through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarters, Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur, SDM, Moonak and SHO, Police Station Khanauri, District Sangrur to investigate the matter and take legal action in view of the fact that major thieves and gangs are operating inter-State and are selling cars, trucks and other vehicles by way of dismantling illegally.

It appears that the present petition is speculative one. If there are some specific instances of thefts and dismantling of vehicles, the petitioners can always lodge the complaint with the police. However, this kind of approach of the petitioners is nothing but sheer wastage of time of

the Court. As such, this petition is dismissed with costs quantified at Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to be payable to the Legal Services Committee of this Court.

April 04, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge