

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -30922 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.11.2016

Avtar Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Savinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.0040 dated 01.08.2016, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Satnampura.

On 03.11.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“On the last date of hearing, the parties were directed to appear before this Court. The petitioner is present in person, however, despite service, neither the complainant, nor his counsel has appeared. The dispute is inter se the family members.

In view of the above, the petitioner is allowed to join the investigation.

Post again on 11.11.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by

the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That she shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 03.11.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

11.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No