

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -30920 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.11.2016

Sukhpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Ramesh Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.64 dated 11.07.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, at Police Station Phase-8, Mohali.

On 14.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is ready and willing to return the entire amount allegedly received by the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 29.11.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

1.That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

*2.That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3.That she shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 14.09.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that no incriminating evidence could be collected against the petitioner during the investigation. The petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 14.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No