

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-309 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.01.2016

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.260, dated 06.12.2012, registered under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for the 'the IPC') at Police Station Civil Line, District Bathinda, Punjab.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the co-accused namely, Rajinder Singh and Bija Mal, have been admitted to bail by this Court.

Heard.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence; fact that the name of the petitioner is specifically mentioned in the FIR and the fact

that the petitioner has not been able to make out the exceptional case to seek the extra ordinary privilege of the anticipatory bail, no case is made out for bail.

Dismissed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

08.01.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE