

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-29985 of 2015
DECIDED ON : MAY 24, 2016

RESHAM SINGH @ SEEBA

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 88 dated June 30, 2010, under Sections 379, 411, 420, 467, 468, 471, 489 & 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-4).

2 Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been

categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties with their free will, without any allurements, coercion, pressure and the same appears to be genuine. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another***; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and ***Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No. 88 dated June 30, 2010, under Sections 379, 411, 420, 467, 468, 471, 489 & 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

MAY 24, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE