

255 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.29974 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30.11.2016

Amandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 15.09.2016 the following order was passed :-

“This is a petition for quashing of the FIR No.264 dated 09.10.2014, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana on the basis of compromise.

To come up on 30.11.2016.

Parties are directed to be present before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on the date fixed i.e. 05.10.2016 or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case is pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon dated 01.11.2016 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place between them and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has also accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.11.2016

Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Yes/No
Yes/No