

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30879 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.09.2016

Paramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by HC Sukhdev Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.27 dated 19.02.2016, registered under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') and Section 379-B IPC (added later on), at Police Station Civil Lines Patiala, District Patiala.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegedly the purse taken by the petitioner along with co-accused, Nirjit Singh @ Rajan containing Rs.35,000/-. He further states that the entire amount stands recovered. The Coordinate Bench of this Court has passed the following order dated 22.08.2016 in '**Nirjit Singh @ Rajan Vs. State of Punjab**':-

“(i) The petition is allowed.

(ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the

satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Patiala on deposit of Rs.20,000/- within a week from today.

(iii) The petitioner shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witness(es).

(iv) In case of violation of the above condition, the present order shall come to an end and the petitioner shall surrender to the judicial custody.”

The learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that co-accused, Nirjit Singh @ Rajan has been admitted to bail by this Court and the entire recovery stands recovered, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.09.2016

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No