

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(230-A)

CRM-M-30861-2016

Date of Decision:-19.10.2016

Amit Kumar @ Bholla

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shailesh Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Bipin Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Learned counsel for the complainant makes a statement that the accused persons are delaying the trial by not cross examining the witnesses, the statement has been seriously disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State submits that in response to the last order dated September 19, 2016, the prosecution has moved an application for transferring all the accused persons to one jail in order to have expeditious trial. It is the fact that the accused persons are under trial for the last 3 years and large number of witnesses are required to be examined by the prosecution. The prosecution ought to have taken steps which have now

been sought to be taken.

Be that as it may, the prosecution shall complete its evidence on day-to-day or weekly basis and shall not ask for time before the trial Court. In any case, the trial be completed within 6 months. If the trial is not completed within 6 months, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to apply for bail before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

October 19, 2016
pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No