

CRM-M-29926-2015

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29926-2015
Date of Decision : 11.01.2016

ManojPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikash Malik, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has urged that the petitioner in the FIR is alleged to have been armed with a knife along with two other persons armed with similar weapon but as per story of the prosecution, Ballam was recovered from the petitioner.

The petitioner is alleged to be a member of unlawful assembly which was allegedly responsible for the murder of Bharat. It will not be appropriate at this stage to enter into the niceties of the trial to appreciate the evidence whether there is contradiction in the ocular and medical version.

No ground is made out for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

11.01.2016

Neha