

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 30834 of 2016

Date of decision : September 09, 2016

Surender @ Kala

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.329, dated 27.10.2014, registered under Sections 457, 380, 120B, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 201, 202, 216 of the IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Gohana, Sonapat.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that three co-accused have been granted bail by different Benches of this Court and though the case against two of them may be said to be slightly different, the

petitioner at-least deserves party with co-accused Arvind @ Bholu who

CRM-M No. 30834 of 2016

::2::

has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 10.8.2016 passed in CRM-M No.16111 of 2016.

Learned Addl. AG Haryana has accepted these factual assertions. He has, however, stated that 101 out of 126 witnesses have already been examined.

Be that as it may, once bail has been granted to Arvind @ Bholu who was accused of digging the tunnel, parity cannot be denied to the petitioner. In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

September 09, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No