

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-30788 of 2016
Date of decision: 08.11.2016**

Sarpreet Singh Cheema

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 135 dated 23.03.2013, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323 IPC, registered at Police Station, Model Town, Panipat, District Panipat (Annexure P-1), is sought on the basis of compromise dated 22.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Inderpreet Kaur-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Sarpreet Singh Cheema-petitioner on 30.10.2011 at Crystal Farms, Assandh Road, Panipat. Out of this wedlock, one daughter was born. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws started harassing and humiliating the complainant on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 22.08.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 01.09.2016 passed by this Court, parties have got recorded their statements before the trial Court. In this regard, a status report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat. As per report, Inderpreet Kaur-complainant (respondent No.2) made her statement on 06.09.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioner out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. She has no objection, if the aforesaid FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Sarpreet Singh Cheema-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, this court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 135 dated 23.03.2013, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323 IPC, registered at Police Station, Model Town, Panipat, District Panipat, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

08.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No