

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-30700 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 14th DECEMEBR, 2016

Amandeep Singh @ Amrinder Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. K. S. Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
 Mr.Shiva Khurmi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.84 dated 31.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar in view of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 06.10.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Perusal of the report shows that the

compromise is genuine and without any pressure. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-30700 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) FIR No.84 dated 31.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar, is quashed qua the petitioners along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

14TH DECEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE