

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30666-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.09.2016

Laxmi Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Petitioners in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the first marriage of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.8 had already been dissolved in a village Panchayat and in pursuance thereof, a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act was also filed before the competent Court.

Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, filed in the Court is taken on record. Learned State counsel states that during the course of inquiry, statement of respondent No.8 was recorded, who stated that he had no objection, in case, the present petition is allowed.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to take necessary action on the representation dated 24.08.2016

ATUL SETHI
26.09.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

(Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, in case, he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

Disposed of.

26.09.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No