

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.119

CRM NO. M-30664 OF 2016
DECIDED ON: August 31, 2016

BHUPINDER SINGH PALA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.3 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representation dated August 25, 2016 (Annexure P-1) was moved, but till date no substantive action has been taken by the concerned authorities.

At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab to decide his representation dated August 25, 2016 (Annexure P-1) within a stipulated period.

Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab, has accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

The instant petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab to look into the grievances unfolded by petitioner in his representation dated August 25, 2016 (Annexure P-1) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, there is threat perception, respondent No.2 shall provide necessary protection to the petitioner.

August 31, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No