

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-30650 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 26th September, 2016**

Rishab Pawha

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent(s)-State.

None for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Service is complete.

None has appeared on behalf of respondent No.2.

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.173 dated 19.03.2016, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind, under Sections 174-A IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present FIR was lodged because the petitioner did not appear in a complaint case under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and was declared proclaimed person. Thereafter, he surrendered in that case and is facing trial.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, accepts these factual assertions.

In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the

Criminal Misc.No.M-30650 of 2016 (O&M)

case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

Ordered accordingly.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the investigating officer on 07.10.2016 and on any other date as and when his presence is required. In case, the petitioner does not appear before the investigating officer in the aforesaid manner, the present petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

26th September, 2016

mamta

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No