

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30599 of 2016
Date of Decision: 07.11.2016

Jaspinder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Jitender Sindh Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Ms.Ankita Dada, Advocate, for the respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.08 dated 02.06.2016, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station NRI, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 26.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

It was alleged by the complainant that since the beginning of the marriage, the behaviour of the petitioners and their family members was rude towards her. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles brought by them at the time of marriage. Besides, they used to illtreat and taunt her for bringing inadequate dowry. They were maltreating, torturing and beating the complainant/respondent No.2 with a view to compel her to bring more dowry from her parents as they were not satisfied with the quality and quantity of the dowry and article given by her parents. The in-laws of the

complainant openly told that they have married Jaspinder Singh-petitioner No.1 to the complainant just to make Jaspinder Singh to reach USA in a legal way and extract money from the complainant and her parents to purchase a truck in USA. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.2-Tamanjeet Kaur Benipal, vide compromise deed dated 26.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 01.09.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the learned CJM, Ludhiana, has been received in this regard. As per report, Tamanjeet Kaur Benipal-complainant through her attorney holder Jagroop Singh S/o Surjit Singh and accused-petitioner No.1 through his attorney holder Sh.Jarnail Singh and petitioner No.2 and petitioner No.3 appeared before the trial Court on 22.09.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of Tamanjeet Kaur Benipal-complainant through her attorney holder Jagroop Singh S/o Surjit Singh and accused-petitioner No.1 through his attorney holder Sh.Jarnail Singh and petitioner No.2 and petitioner No.3 were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and Tamanjeet Kaur Benipal-complainant has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against these accused. Statements of accused-petitioners were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of

of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.08 dated 02.06.2016, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station NRI, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.11.2016

anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>