

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-3055 of 2016

Date of decision : 30.03.2016

Harish Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. M.S. Longia, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that service has been effected upon the complainant but no one has put in appearance on behalf of her. He further states that compromise has been effected between the parties and he has filed petition for quashing of the FIR.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 29.01.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.01.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

March 30, 2016