

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**241**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-2973 of 2014  
Date of decision:12<sup>th</sup> February, 2016**

Ravi Dutt Sharma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY***

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Krishan Sehajpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.3 dated 10.01.2013 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 IPC at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur and other proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for respondent-State submits that the charges have been framed against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in challenging the charge framed against the petitioner and delay be condoned.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial, no ground is made out to quash the FIR at this stage. The petition is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for condonation of delay before the trial Court with shall be considered in accordance with law.

**February 12, 2016**  
sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**