

CRM-M No. 30429 of 2016 (O&M)

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 30429 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 07, 2016

Harpreet Singh and others

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

CRM No.27544 of 2016

Prayer in this application is for adding the offences under Sections 379-B, 427, 341 of the IPC, and Section 8 of the National Highway Act, 1956 in the head note as well as the prayer clause of the petition on the ground that these offences are neither mentioned in the FIR nor in the order of the trial Court declining bail to the petitioners.

Notice of this application.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana,

CRM-M No. 30429 of 2016 (O&M)

::2::

Addl. AG Punjab accepts notice, and after going through the record states that she has no objection to this application being allowed.

Consequently, this application is allowed and the offences under Sections 379-B, 427, 341 of the IPC, and Section 8 of the National Highway Act, 1956 are directed to be added in the head note as well as the prayer clause of the petition.

CRM-M No.30429 of 2016

The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in case FIR No.124, dated 15.5.2016, registered under Sections 307, 186, 353, 332, 342, 506, 435, 511, 148, 149, 379-B, 427, 341 of the IPC and Section 8 of the National Highway Act, 1956, at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the petitioners has argued that invocation of Section 307 of the IPC is highly debatable because no weapon has been used and that the petitioners have been in custody since 16.05.2016. He has further argued that similarly situated co-accused have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 24.8.2016 passed in CRM-M No.28697 of 2016 (Annexure P-4).

Learned Addl. AG Punjab has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the

merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioners, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

September 07, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No