

CRM M- No. 32308 of 2013

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM M- No. 32308 of 2013
Date of decision : August 5, 2016

Rashid Ahmad

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

Present:- Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG., Haryana.

A.B.CHAUDHARI, J (oral).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner was the surety for the accused Shamin in a criminal case that was being tried before the trial court for the offences in FIR No. 150 dated 24.12.2009 under Sections 392, 395, 397, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Jagadhari.

On July 22, 2016, this Court had asked the learned State counsel to verify the statement whether Shamin was acquitted by the trial court.

Now, the learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Subhash Chand makes a statement that Shamin has been acquitted in that case. Obviously, the surety furnished by the petitioner has come to an end. It is true that the petitioner is still guilty of not producing Shamin as a surety. This Court does not want to ignore the fact that the main accused Shamin has been acquitted. There is no point in keeping the hanging sword on the present petitioner.

Accordingly, the impugned orders Annexures P-2 and P-

4 are quashed.

The Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 5 , 2016
archana