

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30417-2016

Date of decision : 25.10.2016

ASHOK KUMAR

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. D.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.445 dated 14.08.2016, registered under Sections 376/506 IPC, Police Station Sadar Muktsar, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 31.08.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has cooperated.

The allegations against the petitioner have been made by the complainant who has alleged that she came in contact with the petitioner in 2004 and the petitioner had alleged that he was associated with the political parties and had connection and would get her a job and established physical relations in 2004 and had been extending threats to her children and had established relations under threat.

The complainant is mature enough to understand the consequences in which she had placed herself. The petitioner has joined the investigation. No recovery is to be effected. Custodial interrogation is not necessary.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 31.08.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

25.10.2016
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No