

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29458-2015 (O & M)

Date of decision:04.04.2016

Amrinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akash Singla, Advocate,
for Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the present petition was filed at the stage of investigation. On completion thereof, offences under Section 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC have been added. Vide order dated September 01, 2015, trial court was directed to record statements of parties. However, a report has been received and operative part thereof reads as under:-

"It is submitted that on 24.09.2015 accused Amrinder singh through his counsel Sh. Sameer Gupta, Advocate moved an application for recording his statement. The said application was later on withdrawn by accused Amrinder Singh on the statement of his counsel Sh. Sameer Gupta, Advocate. None of the complainants i.e. Davinder Singh son of S. Naranjan Singh or Nirmal Singh son of S. Shadi Singh have put in their appearance for authenticating the alleged compromise effected between the parties."

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh in case any cause of action arises.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

04.04.2016

sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE