

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-30311 of 2016

Date of Decision:-02.09.2016

Prabhjot Singh @ Rinkal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Raman Kaushal, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.85, dated 20.09.2015, for offence under Sections 302/201/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Koom Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the alleged occurrence has taken place on 26.08.2015, however the FIR in question was registered on 20.09.2015 and in this manner there is an unexplained delay in lodging the FIR. He further submits that as per the FIR, present petitioner-Prabhjot Singh @ Rinkal in connivance with his father Sarabjit Singh @ Sabi by administering some intoxicant substance to complainant's son Jatinder Singh caused injuries on his head and body and murdered him. Further, with an intention to misplace the dead body, they had thrown the same at the edge of

canal in the land of Forest Department at village Katani Kalan. On 03.09.2015 deceased's motorcycle was recovered at a place about 1½ Kms away at the edge of canal nilo bridge side concealed in the bamboo trees. He further submits that even the complainant-Chanan Singh in the said FIR stated that he had suspicion that his son might have died due to consuming excess intoxicant.

Mr.Raman Kaushal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed Power of Attorney in Court today which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the complainant have argued that the deceased had died inside the house of the petitioner-accused and there is an extrajudicial confession by the petitioner before Rajender Singh, who is Sarpanch of village Rampur.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There is no dispute that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, as he alongwith his father have caused injuries to the deceased and, thereafter, to dispose of the body of deceased they had thrown it at the edge of the canal. Prosecution witnesses have not been examined and in case the petitioner is admitted on bail, there is a possibility that he may win over the witnesses.

Accordingly, no case is made out for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

September 02, 2016
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No