

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30260-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.10.2016

Sukhdev Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Sukhjeet Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.34 dated 17.06.2016, registered under Sections 326, 325, 323, 324, 427, 452 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Tibber, Distt. Gurdaspur.

Heard.

On 30.08.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that injuries attributed to petitioner Nos.1 to 4 are on non-vital parts whereas no injury has been attributed to petitioner No.5. Injury attracting Section 326 IPC has been attributed to coaccused Daljinder Singh. Learned counsel further refers to Annexures P-8 and P-9 respectively to state that the petitioner Nos.2 to 5 received grievous injuries at the hands of the complainant party.

Notice of motion for 26.10.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be

ATUL SETHI
2016.10.26 13:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.08.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

26.10.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No