

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(206)

CRM-M-30252-2016

Decided on: November 08, 2016.

Gagandeep Singh @ Gaggu and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

(2)

CRM-M-30995-2016

Decided on: November 08, 2016.

Harvinder Singh @ Kaddu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-30252-2016.

Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-30995-2016.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Gurvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This order will dispose of two applications for grant of pre-arrest bail, one (CRM-M-30252-2016) filed by Gagandeep Singh @ Gaggu and others and the another (CRM-M-30995-2016) filed by Harvinder Singh @ Kaddu.

The petitioners were found innocent though attributed specific role by the complainant.

Pursuant to the interim order passed by this Court, the petitioners have already put in appearance before the trial Court.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, it is sufficient to observe here that the petitioners did not abuse their liberty despite the fact that they were declared innocent during course of investigation.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the complainant that Harinder Singh and Gurwinder Singh petitioners No.3 and 4 are involved in other criminal cases and they are in judicial custody. The involvement of petitioners No. 3 and 4 and they being in custody in other cases, will not be a relevant factor for dismissing the petition qua them.

It has been argued by learned counsel for the complainant that one eye witness namely Surjit Singh is yet to be examined and there are chances of petitioners making an attempt to threaten or pressurize the said witness. The said witness is stated to be co-villager of petitioner No.2 Jaspal Singh @ Palli.

Both the petitions are allowed. It is ordered that the petitioners will remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished by them subject to the conditions that they will not make any attempt to tamper with the evidence or hamper the further proceedings; they will not commit similar offence of which they are accused of, during the entire period of trial and will not in any manner directly or indirectly make any inducement, threaten or pressurize any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

In case of violation of any of the conditions, it will be open to the complainant to approach this Court for cancellation of bail.

Nothing mentioned in the order will effect the merits of the case.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

November 08, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No