

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30225-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.09.2016

Pardeep @ Lakar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Ashwani Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.566 dated 24.08.2015, registered under Sections 395 and 412 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. DLF Qutab Enclave, Gurgaon.

Contents that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case with the aid of Section 412 IPC. The petitioner was already in custody in another case which the alleged incident took place. He is in custody since 14.03.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel informs that the challan stands presented and out of fourteen prosecution witnesses, one has been examined. He further informs that the petitioner is involved in three more FIRs.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 14.03.2016. At the time of alleged incident which took place on 23.08.2016, the petitioner was already in custody. Out of fourteen prosecution witnesses, only one has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take time.

Keeping in view the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No