

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30224-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.09.2016

Jasvir Singh @ Jassa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Maya Ram.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.39 dated 13.05.2016, registered under Sections 22 and 25 of the NDPS Act, at P.S. Sardulgarh, Distt. Mansa.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that even as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner and his four co-accused had kept the contraband by their side, the alleged recovery has not been effected from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The quantity recovered is non-commercial. The petitioner is in custody since 13.05.2016 and he is not involved in any other case. Co-accused Anoop Singh has already been admitted to bail by this Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. She states that 100 grams of intoxicating powder

ATUL SETHI
22.09.2016 7:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

containing ALPRAZOLAM salt was recovered, which is commercial quantity. However, she concedes that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Heard.

In this case, the salt recovered has been found to be ALPRAZOLAM. The issue whether the quantity is commercial or otherwise, is debatable. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. The trial is yet to commence as none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined.

Keeping in view totality of the facts and circumstances, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

09.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No