

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-29293 of 2015

Date of Decision: 16.02.2016

Jaideep Singh Taneja

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C by petitioner-Jaideep Singh Taneja for quashing of FIR No.9 dated 20.01.2015 registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC at Police Station Women PS, District Amritsar City along with all consequential proceedings arising thereto on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and certain terms and conditions were settled. As per terms and conditions of the settlement, the petitioner was to pay an amount of ₹ 35 lacs, in total, towards past, present, future alimony as well as towards maintenance. It was also decided that the parties will

file a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for grant of divorce with mutual consent. The said petition was filed and first motion statement of the parties has been recorded. Now second stage motion statement is to be recorded. Learned counsel also submits that total amount, as settled in the compromise, has been paid to respondent No.2 including amount of ₹ 10 lacs, which has been paid today by way of two demand drafts and the same has been received by the complainant, who is present in the Court and identified by the counsel representing her.

Complainant-respondent No.2 submits that she has no objection in quashing of the FIR and other proceedings arising therefrom.

It is a matrimonial dispute which has now been settled by way of compromise as a result whereof, complainant-respondent No.2 has no objection in quashing of the FIR and other proceedings arising therefrom. Moreover, a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has also been filed, wherein, the first stage statement has already been recorded and complainant-respondent No.2 undertakes to appear before the trial Court at the time of recording the second stage statement.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and also considering the fact that the complainant-respondent No.2 is satisfied with the settlement and has no objection in quashing of the FIR, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.9 dated 20.01.2015 registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC at Police Station Women PS, District Amritsar City along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioner Jaideep Singh Taneja are hereby quashed.

16.02.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE