

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10099 of 2002
Decided on :02.08.2016

Dr. Lalita Sangwan and others
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

(2)
CWP No.10785 of 2002

Dr. Loveleen Aggarwal and another
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

(3)
CWP No.19144 of 2002

Manoj Kumar and others
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

(4)
CWP No.7550 of 2001

Dr. Sachin Jindal and others
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

(5)
CWP No.7871 of 2001

Dr. Loveleen Aggarwal
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate,
Mr. Suresh Monga, Advocate,
Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present order shall dispose of 5 writ petitions i.e CWP Nos.10099, 10785 & 19144 of 2002 and 7550 and 7871 of 2001. The facts are being taken from ***CWP No. 10099 of 2002 titled as 'Dr. Lalita Sangwan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others'.***

Counsel for the petitioners submit that the petition has been rendered infructuous by efflux of time.

Perusal of the record would go on to show that the connected petitions were also directed to be heard alongwith CWP No.10099 of 2002. No interim orders were passed. Challenge was to Clause C (2) of Annexure-D of the Prospectus of the M.D./M.S. Diploma Courses which provided 50% minimum marks in the CET. A period of 14 years has expired, since the start of the said course.

Accordingly, present writ petitions are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

AUGUST 02, 2016
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No