

Sr. No. 213

In CRM-M No. 30137 OF 2016

Vijay Singh @ Vijji vs State of Haryana

Present : Mr. PL Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Reply by way of affidavit of Taken Lal, ASI has been filed, wherein he has explained that the information which he had given to the Court was as a result of misunderstanding.

Counsel for the respondent states that he has also gone through the record and has found that the Officer had given the statement on the basis of telephonic instructions which he had received from the concerned official of prosecution branch who had inadvertently given him the details of secondary challan.

Keeping in view the reply filed, I drop the matter.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

November 09, 2016.
'kk'