

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-30072 of 2016
Date of decision : 02.09.2016**

Ranjit @ Monu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.127 dated 11.04.2016, registered under Sections 363/366-A IPC and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonipat.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that Arun and Pawan were named in the FIR and the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he is in custody since April, 2016 and investigation is over and challan has been filed and in the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. the girl had stated that Pawan had left the girl with somebody and the petitioner is the uncle of Pawan. The counsel states that the prosecutrix in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. had stated that she wanted to marry Pawan and she had called him to her house.

The trial is taking time. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

02.09.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.

