

CRM-M-29137-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29137-2015 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 03.08.2016

Darshan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.P.S.Doel, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Daldeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K.Bura, Advocate for the complainant.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A. G. for
respondent-State of Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Darshan Lal has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.165 dated 01.04.2015, registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mohindergarh, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, learned Addl. A. G. Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. A.K.Bura, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and learned

CRM-M-29137-2015

State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the prosecution version, there is allegation against the petitioner that he along with co-accused had presented bill (Ex.P-8) and bilty (Ex.PW 4/A) in the Court by forging those documents with intention to harm the complainant and they intended to obtain undue advantage from the above stated forged documents. It is also in the prosecution version that the accused persons had falsely involved the complainant in criminal case for five-six years without any basis.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that case is mainly based on documentary evidence; the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation; the petitioner has already joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim order dated 31.08.2015 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody, therefore, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 31.08.2015, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

03.08.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No