

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-30048 of 2016

Decided on: 10.11.2016

Mohit Katyal and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No. 355 dated 09.07.2015, under Sections 406/498-A/323/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Civil Line Rohtak (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 13.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by respondent No.2 (complainant)-Palka against accused-petitioner No.1-Mohit Katyal and his family to the effect that her marriage with petitioner No.1 was solemnized on 14.04.2014. Dowry articles were entrusted to petitioner No.1 as per their capacity. At the outset of the marriage, they started misbehaving with respondent No.2 on account of bringing insufficient dowry. Burning water was thrown upon respondent No.2, resulting injury and she came back in her parental home. On 07.07.2015 at about 09.15 a.m., petitioner and his family members forcibly came in the parental house of respondent No.2, slapped her and started beating with

brother of respondent No.2. Neighbours came and saved respondent No.2 and her brother from petitioner and his family members. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, with the intervention of respectable persons of the locality, the matter has now been resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.2 vide compromise dated 13.07.2016 (Annexure P-2). Thereafter, parties have entered into compromise seeking divorce by way of mutual consent.

In compliance with the order dated 29.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, has been received in this regard. As per report, Palka-respondent No.2 (complainant) and Mohit Katyal-petitioner No.1 made their statement by way of compromise deed Ex.C-1 that they have compromised the matter without any pressure, coercion or fear. They have no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. In view of joint statements of the parties (Ex.C-1), the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 355 dated 09.07.2015, under Sections 406/498-A/323/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Civil Line Rohtak, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua

petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 10, 2016
Dinesh

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE