

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30042 of 2016

Date of decision: October 24, 2016

Dalbir Singh alias Kala Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 29.08.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In FIR No.112 dated 15.07.2016, under Sections 307, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot, petitioners were granted ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 29.08.2016 by this Court.

Learned State counsel opposed the petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners on the ground that the other accused, namely Shiva had given a brick-blow on the head of the victim and as a result of which, he went in Coma and the injury is said to be grievous.

However, in so far as present petitioners are concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is no such

allegation about any overt act of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Chamkaur Singh, makes a statement that the custody of the petitioners is not required.

In that view of the matter, I am inclined to confirm the order granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners particularly because the main accused, namely Shiva has been arrested.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 29.08.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 24, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No