

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-29975 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20th October, 2016**

Sushil Kumar Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent(s)-State.

Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate
for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.172 dated 26.07.2016, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 381 IPC.

As per the allegations, the petitioner being accountant had committed criminal breach of trust.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the matter was being adjourned to enable some settlement but the complainant side put so much pressure that the son of the petitioner committed suicide and an FIR under Section 306 IPC has been lodged against the complainant.

Learned counsel for complainant has accepted the factum of

Criminal Misc.No.M-29975 of 2016 (O&M)

-2-

lodging of FIR but has denied that the complainant side had put any pressure or that the son of the petitioner committed suicide because of them.

Be that as it may, in view of these stark facts, I do not find it appropriate to decline the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands allowed. The petitioner shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

20th October, 2016

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No